

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant : Krishna Peri et al.

PCT International  
Application No. : PCT/CA03/00903

U.S. Serial Number : 10/517,687

PCT International  
Filing Date : June 11, 2003

U.S. Completion Date : April 19, 2006

Title : PEPTIDES AND PEPTIDOMIMETICS  
USEFUL FOR INHIBITING THE  
ACTIVITY OF PROSTAGLANDIN F<sub>2α</sub>  
RECEPTOR

Examiner : Maury Audet

Group Art Unit : 1654

**RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANT AMENDMENT**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

S I R:

This paper is submitted in response to the paper entitled "Notice of Non-

Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)" (hereinafter the "Notice"), mailed on August 7, 2008 in the above-identified patent application.

On March 7, 2008, applicants' undersigned counsel filed a paper entitled "Amendment and Response to Office Action" which was signed by applicants' undersigned counsel on March 4, 2008 and carried a certificate of mailing under Rule 1.8 dated March 4, 2008 (the "Amendment"). According to the Notice, the Amendment was non-compliant in that, in the section devoted to amendments to the claims, the listing of the claims did not include a proper status identifier for each claim; in particular, the Notice specifies that the status identifier "(Previously Presented)" which was used for each of claims 74 and 75 was incorrect, and that "(Withdrawn)" is the proper status identifier for those two claims. A substitute section for the Amendment, entitled "Amendments to the Claims," in which this oversight has been corrected, is attached hereto.


Applicants have now remedied all of the shortcomings in the Amendment that

were itemized in the Notice. **As requested by Examiner Audet in a telephone conversation with applicants' undersigned counsel on September 5, 2008, applicants are now repeating, and are emphasizing with boldfacing, applicants' prior request (which was set forth in the Remarks section of the Amendment) for rejoinder of claims 74 and 75 in view of the changes in claim 69 made by applicants in the Amendment; claims 74 and 75 had previously been withdrawn as directed to non-elected subject matter.** Accordingly, further favorable action in connection with this patent application is earnestly solicited. The Office is invited to contact the undersigned attorney by telephone if it will advance the prosecution of this case.

Respectfully submitted,

GOTTLIEB, RACKMAN & REISMAN  
Attorneys for Applicant  
270 Madison Avenue  
New York, New York 10016-0601  
(212) 684-3900

By:

  
David S. Kashman  
(Registration No. 28,725)

Dated: New York, New York  
September 8, 2008